

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,456	12/13/2001	Herbert Parks Hartgrove	PGI6044P0281US	2158
32116	7590 01/21/2004		EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET			BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH	
SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60661	1771		
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- '	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/021,456	HARTGROVE ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Thi	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 0104			

Application/Control Number: 10/021,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment submitted on October 28, 2003, has been entered. Claim 8 has been amended. Therefore, the pending claims are 1-15.

- 2. The drawings filed on October 28, 2003 are sufficient to overcome the objections to the drawings set forth in the previous Office Action.
- 3. The objection to claim 8 set forth in the previous Office Action is withdrawn due to the Amendment which corrects the grammar.
- 4. The 35 USC 102/103 rejection based on May (3,934,285) is overcome by the amendment since may fails to teach using a halogenated derivative of a polyurethane backbone as the binder in the nonwoven fabric.

#### Election/Restrictions

5. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 8 – 15 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that searching the method claims would not require additional work by the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the two groups have attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, as demonstrated by their separate classifications and would require a different field of search (See MPEP § 808.02).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Drawings

6. The drawings were received on November 3, 2003. These drawings are accepted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/021,456

Art Unit: 1771

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 8 – 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The flame retardant binder composition made from a halogenated derivative of a polyurethane backbone recited in claim 8 is not enable. A flame retardant composition based on a halogenated derivative of a polyurethane backbone cannot be found in any textbooks or in a search of the patents database. Further, the specific example Pyron 6135 mentioned in the examples cannot be found either. The name PYRON is a trademark which refers to PAN fibers which are fire retardant and one patent, US 5,437,690, discloses that pyron is a brominated chlorinated phosphonate flame retardant composition. However, no reference can be found which combines halogens with a polyurethane backbone to form a flame retardant composition. Should the backbone be phosphorus backbone which is combined with halogens to form a fire retardant composition? Since the polyurethane composition claimed by the Applicant, is not known or taught in the prior art, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to produce claimed product, since the disclosure does not teach how to make the binder having a halogenated derivative of a polyurethane backbone. Therefore, claims 8 – 15 are rejected.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/021,456

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

Jenna-Leigh Befumo January 6, 2004 CHERYLA JUSKA PIMAR ZAMINER